UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,159	02/23/2004	Edward H. Chandler		8496
Edward H. Ch	7590 04/04/2007		EXAM	INER
453 Dolphin S	treet		EXAMINER  MAI, TRI M  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3781  DELIVERY MODE	TRI M
Melbourne Be	ach, FL 32951		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 M(	ONTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/785,159	CHANDLER, EDW	CHANDLER, EDWARD H.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tri M. Mai	3781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If 'NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M c, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	merits is		
Disposition of Claims	•	•			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contact the application Papers	wn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawing.	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF			
,—	tammer. Note the allest				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/785,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

1. Claims 5, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"the rim", "said sleeve" has no antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 8, "such as" renders the claim indefinite.

Regarding claim 6 and 8, the recitation "well known" renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear what comprises the structure following "well known".

2. Claim 1, 4, 5, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.Ć. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Shin (5816396). Shin teaches a device having a holding means and fastening means for fastening the holding means externally to the golf bag.

Regarding claim 5, note the bifurcated hook 32 in Fig. 1 having two legs and providing gripping force for attaching

- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Shin in view of Mejeur (5566870). Shin meets all claimed limitations except for the hook and loop fastener on the billet member and on the strap. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide hook and loop fasteners on the billet and on the strap as taught by Mejeur, see fig. 4, to provide added security.
- 4. Claims 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Shin in view of either Rogers (6571947) or Sidor et al. (4194547). Shin does not mention the cover being resilient. Either Rogers or Sidor teaches that it is known in the art to provide a golf holding being made from resilient material (col. 3, ln. 29; abstract, ln. 1 respectively). It would

Application/Control Number: 10/785,159 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the tube of Shin from resilient material to provide an alternative material for the tube.

- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Aliano, Jr. (5472084) in view of Rhee (4509643). Aliano, Jr. teaches a bag and a removable device being the sleeve 54 in Fig. 3. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the device 54 of resilient material, note portion 1, as taught by Rhee to provide the desired material for the bag.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Perdue et al. (4869369). Perdue teaches a removable device having a holding means and fastening means as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, note that each pocket 40 in Perdue is hollow opening ended as claimed.

7. Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Perdue in view of Slankster (7059470) or Little (D352392). To the degree it is argued that that the sleeve in Perdue is not a sleeve as claimed. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a tubular sleeve as taught by either Slankster or Little to provide an alternative pocket.

Note that Perdue teaches an upper and lower tabs and slots at 30, 31.

8. Claim 1-2, 4, 7-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Slankster (7059470). Slankster teaches a holding device with fastening means as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, note the protruding tabs holding rings 66, and the slot where rings 66 is inserted. Note also the similar bottom structure in Fig. 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,159

Art Unit: 3781

Regarding claim 7, note that the hook at 25 or 70 can be attached to the rim of the bag as claimed, and note the belt on the bottom having portion 25A in Fig. 1.

- 9. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Slankster in view of Mejeur. To the degree it is argued that that Slankster does not teach a belt. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a belt as taught by Mejeur to mount the ring 25 properly.
- 10. Claims 1, and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Little (D352392) in view of Shin (5816396). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a belt as taught by Shin to secure the bottom of holster to the bag easily.
- 11. Claims 7, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Little as set forth above in paragraph 10, and further in view of either Rogers or Sidor. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the holster from resilient material as taught by either Rogers or Sidor to provide the desired material.
- 12. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,159 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tri M. Mai
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3781